

# ECO 5341 Sequential Two-Stage Games

Saltuk Ozerturk (SMU)

## General Structure

- Stage 1: P1 moves first and chooses an action  $x$  to maximize own profit function

$$\pi_1(x, y)$$

- Stage 2: After observing  $x$ , P2 chooses an action  $y$  to maximize own profit function

$$\pi_2(x, y).$$

- Important: P1 anticipates how P2 will respond to  $x$  in the second stage.

## How to solve two-stage games

- **Step 1:** Go to the last stage.
  - Given  $x$ , differentiate  $\pi_2(x, y)$  with respect to  $y$  and equate derivative to zero.
  - Solve for P2's best response function  $y^*(x)$

## How to solve two-stage games

- **Step 2:** Go to the first stage.
  - P1 anticipates P2's best response  $y^*(x)$  and incorporates it into its own objective function. Write  $\pi_1(x, y^*(x))$  instead of  $\pi_1(x, y)$
  - Differentiate  $\pi_1(x, y^*(x))$  with respect to  $x$ , equate derivative to zero and find  $x^*$ .
  - Finally find  $y^*(x^*)$ .

## Wages and Employment in a Unionized Firm

- Consider a monopolist firm in a product market. The firm faces an inverse demand function

$$P = 200 - q$$

where  $q$  is the firm's output and  $P$  is the price.

## Labor Demand by the Firm

- Producing one unit of output requires one unit of labor. Hence, we have

$$q = L$$

where  $L$  is the total labor input the firm uses.

# Wages and Employment in a Unionized Firm

- Each unit of labor costs the firm a wage  $w$ . To produce  $q = L$  units, the firm must pay  $wL$ .
- The firm's profit function from producing  $q$  units is given by

$$\pi(q) = Pq - \text{labor cost}$$

- Since  $q = L$  we have  $P = 200 - L$  and labor cost is  $wL$ , the profits of the firm becomes

$$\pi(L, w) = (200 - L)L - wL$$

## The Union

- There is a Union that has exclusive control over the wage  $w$ .
- The Union's payoff increasing both in wage  $w$  (chosen by the Union) and by  $L$  (chosen by the Firm).



## The Union

- There is a Union that has exclusive control over the wage  $w$ .
- The Union's payoff increasing both in wage  $w$  (chosen by the Union) and by  $L$  (chosen by the Firm).
- The Union chooses  $w$  to maximize

$$U(w, L) = (w - w_m)L$$

where  $w_m$  is the minimum wage that the workers can secure themselves in an alternative employment.

# Wages and Employment in a Unionized Firm

- **Sequence of moves in the game**
- In the first stage, the Union chooses  $w$  to maximize

$$U(w, L) = (w - w_m)L = wL - w_mL$$

- In the second stage, after observing  $w$  set by the Union, the Firm chooses  $L$  to maximize profits given by

$$\pi(L, w) = (200 - L)L - wL$$

## Backward Induction Equilibrium

- Let's first solve the Firm's best response function after the Union sets  $w$ .
- Given the wage  $w$ , the Firm chooses  $L$  to maximize profits given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(L, w) &= (200 - L)L - wL \\ \Rightarrow \pi(L, w) &= 200L - L^2 - wL\end{aligned}$$

- Differentiate  $\pi(L, w)$  with respect to  $L$  and obtain the first order condition

$$200 - 2L - w = 0 \Rightarrow L^*(w) = 100 - \frac{w}{2}$$

## The Union's Problem

- In the first stage, anticipating the Firm's subsequent best response  $L^*(w)$ , the Union chooses  $w$  to maximize

$$\begin{aligned}U(w, L^*(w)) &= (w - w_m)L^*(w) \\ \Rightarrow U(w, L^*(w)) &= (w - w_m)\left(100 - \frac{w}{2}\right) \\ \Rightarrow U(w, L^*(w)) &= 100w - \frac{w^2}{2} - 100w_m + \frac{w_m w}{2}\end{aligned}$$

# Wages and Employment in a Unionized Firm

- The first order condition is

$$100 - w + \frac{w_m}{2} = 0 \Rightarrow w^* = 100 + \frac{w_m}{2}$$

# Wages and Employment in a Unionized Firm

- Now let's go back to the firm's best response in stage 2 to find  $L^*$ . We have

$$w^* = 100 + \frac{w_m}{2}$$

and

$$L^*(w) = 100 - \frac{w}{2}$$

Hence

$$L^*(w^*) = 100 - \frac{1}{2} \left( 100 + \frac{w_m}{2} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow L^* = 50 - \frac{w_m}{4}$$

- The equilibrium employment  $L^*$  decreases as minimum wage  $w_m$  increases.

## Sequential Price Competition with Differentiated Goods

- Two firms with profit functions (recall from earlier lecture):

$$\pi_1(p_1, p_2) = p_1(10 - p_1 + p_2)$$

$$\pi_2(p_1, p_2) = p_2(10 - p_2 + p_1)$$

- Both firms want to maximize profits. Firm 1 moves first and chooses  $p_1$ . In the second stage, Firm 2 moves and chooses  $p_2$ .

## Second Stage Problem

- After observing  $p_1$ , Firm 2 chooses  $p_2$  to maximize

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_2(p_1, p_2) &= p_2(10 - p_2 + p_1) \\ \Rightarrow \pi_2(p_1, p_2) &= 10p_2 - p_2^2 + p_2p_1\end{aligned}$$

- First order derivative with respect to  $p_2$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}10 - 2p_2 + p_1 &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow p_2^*(p_1) &= 5 + \frac{1}{2}p_1\end{aligned}$$

Firm 2 sets a higher price as rival price  $p_1$  increases.



## First Stage Problem

- Anticipating  $p_2^*(p_1)$ , Firm 1 chooses  $p_1$  to maximize

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1(p_1, p_2^*(p_1)) &= p_1(10 - p_1 + p_2^*(p_1)) \\ &= p_1 \left[ 10 - p_1 + \left( 5 + \frac{1}{2}p_1 \right) \right] \\ &= 15p_1 - \frac{1}{2}p_1^2\end{aligned}$$

- First order derivative with respect to  $p_1$  yields the first order condition

$$15 - p_1 = 0 \Rightarrow p_1^* = 15$$

- Since

$$p_2^*(p_1) = 5 + \frac{1}{2}p_1$$

we obtain

$$p_2^* = 12.5$$

# ECO 5341 Stackelberg Model of Duopoly

Saltuk Ozerturk (SMU)

## Stackelberg Duopoly

- Suppose that two firms (Firm 1 and Firm 2) face an industry demand

$$P = 150 - Q$$

where

$$Q = q_1 + q_2$$

is the total industry output. Both firms have the same unit production cost  $c = 30$ .

- Assume that first Firm 1 moves and chooses  $q_1$ . In the second stage, after observing  $q_1$ , Firm 2 moves and chooses  $q_2$ .

## Deriving Firm 2's best response in the second stage

- Given  $q_1$  chosen by Firm 1, Firm 2 chooses  $q_2$  to maximize

$$\pi_1(q_1, q_2) = (150 - q_1 - q_2)q_2 - 30q_2$$

First order condition:

$$150 - 2q_2 - q_1 - 30 = 0$$

which yields the best response function:

$$q_2^*(q_1) = 60 - \frac{q_1}{2}$$

## Deriving Firm 1's optimal choice of $q_1$

- Note that Firm 1 perfectly knows how Firm 2 will respond to any  $q_1$  that it chooses. Firm 1 chooses  $q_1$  to maximize

$$\pi_1(q_1, q_2) = (150 - q_1 - q_2^*(q_1))q_1 - 30q_1$$

subject to

$$q_2^*(q_1) = 60 - \frac{q_1}{2}$$

# Stackelberg Model of Duopoly

- Firm 1 chooses  $q_1$  to maximize

$$\pi_1(q_1, q_2) = (150 - q_1 - q_2^*(q_1))q_1 - 30q_1$$

which becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1(q_1, q_2) &= (150 - q_1 - (60 - \frac{q_1}{2}))q_1 - 30q_1 \\ &= 90q_1 - \frac{q_1^2}{2} - 30q_1\end{aligned}$$

and yields the first order condition

$$\begin{aligned}90 - q_1^S - 30 &= 0 \Rightarrow q_1^S = 60 \\ \Rightarrow q_2^S &= 60 - \frac{q_1^S}{2} \Rightarrow q_2^S = 60 - \frac{60}{2} \Rightarrow q_2^S = 30\end{aligned}$$

## Comparison of Cournot Duopoly NE and Stackelberg NE

	$q_1$	$q_2$	$P$	$\pi_1^*$	$\pi_2^*$
<b>Cournot NE</b>	40	40	70	1600	1600
<b>Stackelberg NE</b>	60	30	60	1800	900

- Firm1 who moves first enjoys a first mover advantage. Behaves more aggressively and secures more profits  $\pi_1^*$  than what it achieves in Cournot NE.